



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,087	08/28/2001	Casey C. Case	8325-0002.21	7166

7590

12/03/2004

Sean M. Brennan
Sangamo BioSciences, Inc.
501 Canal Blvd
Suite A 100
Richmond, CA 94804

EXAMINER

BRUSCA, JOHN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/942,087	CASE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John S. Brusca	1631	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John S. Brusca (USPTO)

(3) _____

(2) Sean Brennan

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

John S. Brusca, December 2004
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The petition decision mailed 17 May 2004 stated that an Information Disclosure Statement was filed by the applicants on 14 May 2004, however no Information Disclosure Statement of that date appears in the application file. The applicants were asked in an Information Disclosure Statement was filed on 14 May 2004. The applicants stated that no Information Disclosure Statement was filed on 14 May 2004. The statement regarding an Information Disclosure Statement filed 14 May 2004 is incorrect..